Patterns of Genetic Variation, Correlations under Irrigated and Rainfed Conditions in Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]

VV Singh*, ML Meena, BR Singh and Dhiraj Singh

ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed Mustard Research, Sewar, Bharatpur-321303, Rajasthan, India (Received: 11 October 2013; Revised: 02 January 2015; Accepted: 17 January 2015)

An experiment was conducted on 77 advanced progenies (F_6) of Indian mustard in augmented block design under irrigated (E_1) and water stressed (E_2) conditions to know the patterns of genetic variability and correlations under irrigated and rainfed conditions. Observations were recorded on 12 morphological characters. The estimates of heritability under irrigated conditions were of higher magnitude (>50%) for main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length, seed yield/plant and 1000 seed weight, whereas under rainfed conditions, secondary branches/ plant, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length and 1000 seed weight recorded higher estimates of heritability. For other characters (siliquae/plant, main shoot length and seed yield/plant) moderate estimates of heritability were observed. Under irrigated conditions, seed yield/plant was positively and significantly correlated with plant height (0.241), primary branches/plant (0.381), secondary branches/plant (0.493), fruiting zone length (0.295), siliquae/plant (0.562), biological yield (0.852) and harvest index (0.613) while under rainfed conditions, seed yield/plant was significantly and positively correlated with plant height (0.445), primary branches/plant (0.443), secondary branches/plant (0.611), fruiting zone length (0.505), siliqua/plant (0.729), main shoot length (0.410), seeds/siliqua (0.283), biological yield (0.774) and harvest index (0.482).

Key Words: Correlations, Heritability, Indian mustard, Rainfed

Introduction

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L) Czern. & Coss. 2n=4x=36] is a natural amphidiploids between *Brassica* campestris (2n=20) and Brassica nigra (2n=16). In India, Brassica juncea is a predominant species which accounts for nearly 80% of the oilseed brassicas. Central Asia- Himalayas is a primary center of diversity for this species with migration to China, India and Caucasus (Hemingway, 1976). The crop is mainly grown for its oil which is largely used in cooking and for frying purposes. Oilseed cake or meal which is a byproduct during the extraction of oil from the seeds, is an important source of protein feed for animals while the leaves of the plant are used as vegetable as well as fodder for cattle. In spite of all the efforts made over past decades, the productivity has remained almost constant, may be due to lack of high vielding genotypes with stable performance over the environment. There is lack of adequate genetic variability for morphological traits and high degree of genotype x environment interaction.

Concerted breeding efforts are required to bring out favorable change in yielding ability of this crop. The low yield and stability are ascribed to lack of genetic variability for some important yield components, nonavailability of short duration, disease and pest resistant genotypes, even the limited world germplasm collections have been attributed to the limited success achieved so far.

The knowledge of the magnitude of genetic parameters of seed yield and its component traits for different conditions is essential for an effective breeding programme. Moreover, association and interaction of different component characters with seed yield may help in selection of superior lines under different environments. Considering the above facts, present study reports the pattern of variability and character association in advanced lines of Indian mustard under irrigated and rainfed conditions.

Materials and Methods

The material for present investigation consisted of 77 advanced progenies (F_6) of Indian mustard (Table 1) selected on the basis of high yield under rainfed conditions in previous generation. These progenies were derived from 49 different crosses involving Exotic × Indian and Indian × Indian genotypes. The experiment was carried out at research farm of Directorate of Rapeseed Mustard Research, Sewar, Bharatpur during 2011-12.

^{*}Author for Correspondence: E-mail: singhvijayveer71@gmail.com.

- 0 ,		PH (cm)	(000)																						
- 0 0			(IIII)		PB		SB	FZ	FZL (cm)		S/P	MS	MSL (cm)	S	S/MS	SL	SL (cm)	B	BY(g)	SΥ	(g)	IH	(%) IH	1000	1000 SW (g)
- 0 -		IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF
c1 r	BPR-583-1	177.7	168.6		4.1	6.0	2.8	81.5	-	140.4	4 129.3					4.2	4.8	45.8	33.7	10.6	9.4	21.0	28.7	5.7	5.6
ç	BPR-577-2	187.7	181.0	5.0	4.1	5.4	2.2	77.7	7 87.4					49.0	41.6	3.9	4.3	28.3	36.2	5.6	8.0	20.2	21.0	5.6	5.7
ſ	BPR-686-3	177.1	171.2	3.8	4.5	1.8	3.6	71.5	-							3.9	4.1	23.3	38.7	4.6	8.3	21.0	20.1	5.4	6.3
4	BPR-674-4	174.9	175.2	5.6	3.9	8.2	2.4	67.7	-							3.7	4.7	38.3	31.2	9.6	6.6	23.3	19.5	5.0	5.4
5	BPR-694-6	164.5	169.8	5.4	4.1	8.4		71.7	-							4.1	4.6	40.8	33.7	7.1	8.4	16.1	24.7	6.3	5.8
9	BPR-682-7	181.1	175.4	4.8	3.9	3.8		71.3	-							3.9	4.5	40.8	23.7	8.7	8.3	19.8	40.5	4.9	5.6
7	BPR-667-8	164.5	165.6	4.4	4.3	6.4	-	68.3	-							3.9	4.5	35.8	33.7	7.7	8.4	20.4	24.8	6.2	6.3
8	BPR-639-9	178.5	165.0	4.4	Ś	5.4	1.2	77.9						-		4.1	4.5	35.8	38.7	7.0	7.6	18.6	17.7	5.1	5.8
6	BPR-691-10	166.3	176.4		3.3	4.2	2.4	74.5						45.4	39.2	4.3	5.0	35.8	36.2	7.9	7.4	21.0	19.0	5.0	6.2
10	RPR-669-12	1747	163.8		4	44		73 1								4 0	5 0	35.8	48.7	69	8.5	184	15.5	4 0	69
11	RPR-1799-18	159.9	156.4			_		53.1								5.5	0. 7 7	35.8	38.7	10.2	80	26.7	19.3	5.0	19
11	RPR-1292-22	167.2	141.2					6.09						37.6	30.2	46	- 4 - 4	33.8	19.4	107) ((26.8	17.9	200	- 7 7
1 1	BPR-1307-250	188.0	148.8		07	- 1	191	5 09									64	28.8	19.4	10.7	n v n v	557	30.4	6.0	
14	RPR583-76	174.4	143.0	- ~ - ~		i r		716								10	10	413	19.4	11 8	4.1 1	0.107	010	10	- 7 9
15	RPR-645-30	185.6	156.0	66				88								46	41	38.8	11 4	0.6	44	9 6 6	375	57	61
16	BPR-669-31	196.8	158.0	i rr	i va			77.8						46.6		- 4 - 7	47	43.8	24.4	11.2	. 5	25.2	22.0	2.6	4.4
17	BPR-1293-32	2.02.2	145.2	i rr	. "			7 1 1								8	4 7	38.8	29.4	67	57	24.5	19.9	51	. 9
18	BPR-1293-33	178.8	158.4	i va) (r	i n		73.6								3.9	8	41.3	29.4	6.6	9.4	23.5	38.8	5.9	6.0
19	BPR-1300-35	177.6	150.2	3.5	4.6			81.2						-		3.7	3.9	28.8	20.9	5.0	4	17.6	21.9	5.7	6.3
20	BPR-625-36	162.8	156.8	3.5	4.0			71.2								4.4	4.0	31.3	24.4	4.9	4.2	16.1	18.0	5.5	6.1
21	BPR-625-36	176.2	153.8	3.7	3.8		2.2	88.(71.8	169.2		89.2	72.6	47.2	36.4	4.8	3.7	43.8	24.4	9.0	3.7	20.0	15.9	5.9	5.2
22	BPR-625-39	183.0	151.0	4.1	4.4	-		72.4								4.1	4.1	31.3	16.9	7.5	3.8	23.7	23.3	5.9	5.5
23	BPR-1294-42	181.2	174.4	5.5	3.4	10.8		86.4								3.9	4.4	55.2	22.9	13.5	4.7	26.6	21.2	4.6	5.4
24	BPR-625-37	194.6	182.4	5.1	4.2			80.(47.4		4.0	4.6	50.2	30.4	12.4	6.2	26.5	20.5	3.9	6.6
25	BPR-669-1	194.8	153.8	4.5	3.6			85.4								5.1	4.7	65.2	22.9	15.5	4.1	26.3	18.4	5.0	5.3
26	BPR-669-2	172.8	156.4	4.3	3.2	_		85.2								4.0	4.8	47.7	20.4	10.7	3.8	23.9	19.5	4.9	6.1
27	BPR-694-4	168.0	164.4	5.7	3.8	-		70.4								4.0	4.6	57.7	25.4	14.2	5.3	26.9	21.3	4.6	5.6
28	BPR-694-5	184.6	155.8	6.5	3.0	-		3.06								3.6	4.8	75.2	25.4	18.6	5.0	27.6	20.1	4.0	6.2
29	BPR-1304-6	179.8	173.2	3.5	3.4			84.4								4.1	4.5	67.7	40.4	17.3	10.1	28.3	24.6	4.0	6.0
30	BPR-139-7	178.8	172.4	4	ŝ			83.2	2 75.2			76.7				3.8	3.7	62.7	32.9	13.5	11.7	23.7	35.8	3.4	5.0
31	BPR-606-11	178.0	170.0	5.9	4			78.5								3.8	4.4	55.2	45.4	15.3	10.8	30.1	23.4	3.4	5.3
32	BPR-639-16	171.0	178.0	5.1	4.6			73.2								3.9	4.2	41.7	57.9	11.9	15.0	30.2	25.2	5.2	6.6
33	BPR-639-18	180.4	177.8	4.7	4.6			73.(49.4		4.1	4.2	52.7	37.9	14.9	9.6	30.6	25.1	5.1	7.0
34	BPR-1298-26	167.0	157.2	5.3	3.5			74.4	1 71.2					36.8		3.8	3.5	64.2	45.7	17.4	5.9	29.9	15.4	4.5	4.8
35	BPR-141-36	166.6	143.4	4.5	2.9			72.(41.8		3.4	4.1	40.2	20.7	10.4	4.9	27.2	24.7	4 8. 4	5.7
36	BPR-578-43	166.6	158.0		2			63.4	-			-		37.8		3.9	3.5	37.7	38.2	9.0	7.7	24.5	21.4	4.1	4.8
37	BPR-675-44	171.6	155.4	ς.	0			78.0	-	206.0			65.5	39.8	44.0	3.9	3.1	45.2	38.2	12.0	10.3	28.3	26.7	4.0	4.1
38	BPR-683-45	170.4	149.0	4.5	0			67.4	-					43.4		3.9	5.0	47.7	14.2	13.0	4.3	29.3	28.3	5.6	6.1
39	BPR-675-46	167.0	155.6	4	ŝ			62.4	-					42.0		3.7	3.7	39.2	20.7	11.5	5.2	31.0	25.6	4.2	6.0
40	BPR-656-47	176.0	141.4	ci.	0			77.0	-				64.7	40.8		4.1	3.8	40.2	22.2	12.6	6.1	33.2	26.9	4.	4.9
41	BPR-670-48	175.4	164.4	2.9	ς Ω	4.8		75.8	-			79.1	60.1	39.4		3.3	6. 4.	29.7	18.2	7.7	5.1	25.6	27.4	4	4.6
42	BPR-156-52	140.4	163.2	4.1	3.9			71.6	0.06.6			<u> </u>	64.9	4 8. 9	42.2	5.7	3.5	37.7	23.2	10.5	8.4 4.2	29.1	32.7	4 8. ·	5.1
43	BPR-172-53	187.6	123.8	4. ·				7.0/				/1/	61.7	47.0		x. x	3.66	42.7	40.7	12.5	17.7	31.2	30.0	4 (4 (4.0
4 v 7 v	BPR-181-54	110.2	152.6	4, 4	4) (4) (0 r 4 r		7.08	-	200.0		-	0	0.14	2.05	0.4 0.7	4.0	59.2	20.7	1. I	1.8 1.02	8.67	0.61		4. r 2. r
45	BPR-150-58	169.8	149.5	4.5	3.1	5.6	1.0	72.7			-	64.4	. 65.7	37.0	40.1	4.6	3.8	30.0	35.0	7.5	10.3	24.7	29.4	4.8	5.4

Table 1. Details of progenies used in experimentation along with their mean values

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 28(2): 198-204 (2015)

S. No.	Progeny												Characters	cters											
		Hd	PH (cm)		PB		SB	FZI	FZL (cm)		S/P	MSI	MSL (cm)	S/	S/MS	SL (cm)	cm)	ВΥ	BY(g)	SΥ	(g)	(%) IH		1000 SW (g)	W (g)
		R	RF	Я	RF	R	RF	R	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
46	BPR-783-60	209.2	155.3	4.7	3.9	5.4	3.8	78.5	62.0	150.8	131.7			45.6	38.7	3.8	3.9	45.0	25.0	10.3	10.5		38.8	3.3	5.4
47	BPR-1669-44	177.2	140.9	5.7	3.3		2.8	72.9		146.(65.2	53.7	37.4		4.3	4.2	42.5	25.0	11.0	9.7	26.9	36.4	4.9	6.3
48	BPR-671-45	191.8	129.7	4.1			1.8	84.3		155.2				48.8		4.3	4.5	35.0	25.0	8.6	9.0		33.9	5.1	5.5
49	BPR-645-50	197.8	156.7	5.3		7.2		78.7	67.8	166.4				39.4	35.3	3.9	4.2	50.0	35.0	13.9	12.3		35.3	5.4	6.4
50	BPR-565-52	191.6	155.1	5.5		5.8		77.7					0	46.4	4	3.2	3.4	35.0	45.0	7.8	16.1		35.7	4.1	5.8
51	BPR-587-56	189.8	168.9	4.9		10.4		78.5						46.2		4.6	3.8	45.0	50.0	11.9	13.9		28.6	3.7	6.0
52	BPR-630-62	194.8	153.3	5.1	4.7	3.8		76.9				-		35.0	31.9	5.0	3.8	40.0	42.5	8.1	10.6	20.2	25.8	5.0	5.6
53	BPR-583-63	208.6	158.9	4.1	3.3	6.2	4.4	6.69					-	43.0	44.5	3.7	3.6	45.0	45.0	10.3	11.6	23.7	26.7	4.8	5.8
54	BPR-606-62	198.2	147.5	4.1	2.9	5.0	2.8	85.9					Ŭ	46.2	35.9	4.0	4.5	37.4	42.5	10.6	12.8	29.4	30.5	5.4	6.8
55	BPR-645-68	172.2	148.1	5.9	3.5	6.4	6.4	6.99						32.2	34.7	4.7	4.2	47.5	42.5	11.2	10.0	24.4	24.6	4.6	5.4
56	BPR-648-69	172.7	173.8	3.8	3.3	2.2	6.8	62.1						37.0	56.8	3.5	4.3	33.8	43.7	5.7	10.3		23.1	6.0	5.7
57	BPR-667-70	170.5	172.4	3.0	5.3	0.8	7.0	66.5	84.4				79.0	33.6	44.0	4.1	4.3	23.3	51.2	3.5	11.0	17.3	20.4	5.6	5.9
58	BPR-671-71	163.7	158.2	4.8	4.5	4.0	6.2	71.5						39.8	47.4	4.3	4.5	25.8	38.7	4.4	9.9		25.6	4.6	5.8
59	BPR-671-72	146.1	166.6	4.2	3.6	4.8	5.4	72.3						41.6	44.4	3.7	4.6	27.3	56.2	4.7	12.8		22.1	5.8	6.3
60	BPR-671-73	180.3	147.2	3.2		2.4	7.4	77.7						42.2	-	3.8	4.3	25.8	53.7	3.1	8.2		13.1	5.3	5.6
61	BPR-682-74	179.1	185.2	5.2	4.7	3.4	8.0	83.1						40.0		4.1	5.0	35.8	56.2	6.7	13.3		23.2	4.1	5.1
62	BPR-1309-75	172.5	182.8	4.6	3.9	7.0	6.0	70.5						37.2		4.3	4.9	35.8	51.2	5.5	12.4		23.8	4.5	5.3
63	BPR-645-76	160.3	178.2	4.0	3.9	2.4	6.6	72.9						32.0		4.6	5.2	35.8	41.2	7.2	10.3		24.8	5.6	6.7
64	BPR-565-24	161.1	175.6	3.2	7.3	2.4	7.0	73.7						38.4		4.3	4.4	28.3	45.7	5.1	13.6		30.5	4.7	5.4
65	BPR-572-78	167.5	162.8	3.8	4.5	4.2	4.8	67.1		66.2		58.4		30.0		4.0	4.4	30.8	38.7	3.4	9.1		22.9	4.5	6.7
99	BPR-575-79	176.1	155.4	4.0	4.1	2.6	3.8	67.9						42.2	42.8	4.5	4.3	38.3	45.2	6.8	7.1	16.9	13.2	4.2	5.1
67	BPR-599-80	158.0	160.8	3.1	5.2	1.2	5.8	69.2						39.2		4.5	4.1	23.8	25.4	5.5	6.6		26.5	6.1	5.9
68	BPR-605-81	179.0	166.0	4.1	4.8	2.9	6.2	57.0						44.0		4.9	3.8	33.8	36.9	4.8	9.5		25.9	5.3	5.1
69	BPR-611-82	181.0	160.8	4.1	5.0	4.5	5.8	77.2					68.8	42.8		5.4	3.9	51.3	39.4	12.6	10.3		26.3	5.9	5.3
70	BPR-620-83	185.0	173.6	3.7	4.4	4.3	4.8	82.6						54.0		4.9	3.7	48.8	29.4	12.2	7.2		24.8	5.8	6.2
71	BPR-624-84	178.6	166.0	5.1	5.0	1.7	6.2	85.2						41.6	49.8	4.7	3.8	56.3	24.4	14.5	10.4		42.7	6.3	5.3
72	BPR-659-85	176.4	174.8	3.9	4.2	1.5	5.8	74.8						41.8	54.6	4.8	3.7	38.8	23.9	8.3	8.7		36.7	5.8	6.0
73	BPR-606-12	181.8	184.2	4.1	4.2	4.9	6.8	73.6	87.6					45.4		5.0	3.6	36.3	29.4	7.48	8.1	20.5	27.8	6.0	6.0
74	BPR-639-15	173.8	174.4	с. С.	4	2.9	4.8	71.2				-		51.8		4.0	4.1	33.8	37.4	9.5	7.4		20.3	5.7	5.7
75	BPR-639-17	170.0	162.8	4.3	5.6	6.5	6.0	81.0						42.0		3.9	3.9	31.3	41.9	9.5	10.9 î.		26.2	5.3	6.6 6
9/	BPR-667-21	8.801	16/.0	4, 4 V 1	4 •	6.1	4. v 8. v	0.0/				-		40.4	4.50	4 (2) (- r	41.3	30.9	11.3	9.1 1.01		1.67	4. v 4. v	6.9
11 Chaolr	BPR-082-24 DD 50	4.601 7.031	1/1.8	4 7 7 - 7	4 6 4 0	8.9 6.2	0.0 7	72.0		1404		72.9	8.11 70.4	48.7	4/.0 20.7	0.0 7 0	4./ 7	50.5 97 0	39.4 22.5	8.9 0 0	10.4 0.6		0.02	0.0	4.1 6.2
Check	DH 810	101 2	160.7	- 4 - 7	0.0		U.1	1.01				-		U-14 101	20.4	0.6		0.10	C 66	0.0	0.0		1.62	+	ر.ب ۲ ک
Check	PBR 97	1817	162.1	р Г v		- 2	28	78.8	7. CL	1863			73.4	41 1	47.8	t v n m	- 6	310	7.66	2.7	C	216	5.02	- 6	1.5
	General mean	177.1	162.8	4.5			3.7	75.2				74.5		42.0	41.5	4.0	42	40.0	33.2	9.3	8.4		25.7	4.9	5.7
	Range	110.2-		2.5-			-	53.1-				- 43.5-		27.0-	30.6-	3.2-	3.1-	23.3-	11.4-	3.1-	1.8-		3.1-	3.3- 4	17.0
)	209.2	185.2	6.5	7.3	15.4		90.8			2			54.0	61.6	5.7	5.2	75.2	56.2	18.6	16.1		42.7	6.8	
	CD at 5%	31.6	25.6	1.5	1.8	8.4	3.4	17.2						7.0	8.7	0.7	0.5	20.4	12.8	4.4	4.9	14.0	17.7	0.7	0.9
	SEM	12.1	9.8	0.6		3.2	1.3	6.6						2.6	3.3	0.2	0.2	7.6	4.9	1.7	1.9	5.3	6.8	0.3	0.3
IR=Irris	IR=Irrigated RF=Rainfed	ьd																							
H = Hd	ur-mugated, kr-rkamed PH ≡ Plant height PB≡ Primary hranches/nlant SB≡Secondary hranches/nlant FZI ≡ Fruiting zone length S/P≡ Siliquae/nlant MSI ≡ Main shoot length S/MS≡ Siliquae on main shoot SI ≣ Siliqua	eu Primarv	hranche	s/nlan	t SB=	Second	larv hra	nches/	nlant F	'ZI = Fn	uitine zo	ie lenot	S/P=	Silianae	/nlant	= ISM	Main s	hoot lei	oth S/	MS=SM	liquae	on main	shoot	SI = Si	liqua
L I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	rti – rtaiu neugiu, r.D.– runnary otainenes piani, 3D–3econuary otainenes/piani, r.z.t.– running zone rengui, 3/r Janeth RV= Biological viald/alant SV= Saad viald/alant HI=Harvast inday (%) 1000 SW= 1000 saad viaidat	Filliary viald/nlo	Ulalicin	Cood	لالد راا م/ أمام (م	Jont H	JT_Harv	ullullo reet ind	рталь, т 		W = 1001	ווס וכווצע המוסר סו	L, U/E -	mhille	o/piant,	Mor	Maill S		igui, y	UD-CIM	Induar		SHUUL	21-10	IIIdua
ıcıışıı,	BY = Blougicar	yıcıu pıa	nı, ə ı –	Door	J'unial y	uant, 1	านอน–П	/ESL III	(0/) XƏI	ς UUUI ,		n seeu w	eigni.												

200

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 28(2): 198-204 (2015)

Experimentation was done in an augmented block design (Fedrer, 1956)] under normal (E_1) and water-stressed (E_2) conditions. The material was divided into seven blocks consisting of 11 progenies and three check varieties in each block, namely, PBR 97, RB 50 and RH 819. In each block, progenies and check varieties were sown in three row plots of five meter length, spaced 30 cm apart with plant-to-plant spacing of 10 cm achieved by thinning after 15-20 days of sowing. Border effect was removed by taking observations on middle plants in a row. Recommended package of practices were followed to raise a healthy crop. Water-stressed (E_2) was grown as rainfed experiment and only pre-sowing irrigation was given, while normal (E_1) environment was irrigated twice during cropping season, one at 35 days after sowing and another at 70 days after sowing. Initial moisture content of experimental area (water-stressed E_2) at sowing time was 7.93% at 0-15 cm depth, 7.75% at 15-30 cm depth and 11.06% at 30-60 cm depth. After 50 days, moisture content was reduced up to 5.53% at 0-15 depth, 7.0% at 15-30 cm depth and 9.93% at 30-60 cm depth. However, 24.3 mm rain was received in a day in January during the cropping season. Observations were recorded on 12 morphological characters viz., plant height, primary branches/plant, secondary branches/plant, fruiting zone length, siliquae/plant, main shoot length, siliqua length, seeds/siliqua, biological yield, seed yield/plant, harvest index and 1000-seed weight.

The mean data were subjected to analysis of variance (Fedrer, 1956) using SPAD (Abhishek *et al.*, 2004) software. Genetic parameters and simple correlations in all possible combinations were worked out as per standard procedure (Burton, 1952; Johnson *et al.*, 1955).

Results and Discussion

Mean of different progenies in irrigated and rainfed conditions for morphological traits are presented in Table 1. The overall mean performance of progenies was comparatively higher in irrigated environment as compared to drought condition for secondary branches/ plant, siliquae/plant, main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, and seed yield/plant. Drought appeared to have reduced the overall mean performance of these traits by 38.51%, 18.33%, 3.94%, 1.33%, 9.21%, respectively. For siliquae length and 1000 seed weight, mean performance was slightly higher in drought environment. The reduction in mean performance of progenies under drought situations for most of the traits may be ascribed

to decreased translocation of assimilates and growth substances, impairing nitrogen metabolism, loss of turgidity and consequently reduced sink size (Kumawat et al., 1997). The more or less similar observations were made by Singh and Choudhary (2003) and Chauhan et al. (2007) in Brassica juncea where they reported up to 60% vield reductions under rainfed environments. Analysis of variance showed that the progenies differed significantly for secondary branches/plant, siliquae/plant, main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length, seed yield/ plant and 1000 seed weight under rainfed conditions while progenies showed significant differences for main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length, 1000 seed weight, seed yield/plant under irrigated conditions. For rest of the traits there were non-significant differences in both the conditions. This indicates that material has sufficient variability for these traits and response to selection may be expected in the breeding programme for irrigated as well as rainfed conditions.

The variances of various characters were compared on the basis of coefficient of variation. It was observed that main shoot length closely followed by seed yield/ plant in irrigated environment, and secondary branches/ plant followed by seed yield/plant in rainfed conditions exhibited comparatively higher estimates of genotypic as well as phenotypic coefficient of variation (Table 2). It indicated that simple selection for these characters might be advantageous in particular condition. The estimates of heritability in the present investigation were of higher magnitude (>50%) for main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length, seed yield/plant and 1000 seed weight, whereas, under rainfed conditions, secondary branches/plant, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae length and 1000 seed weight recorded higher estimates of heritability. For other characters (siliquae/plant, main shoot length and seed yield/plant) moderate estimates of heritability were observed. Similar reports were made by Meena et al. (2008) and Singh et al. (2009).

The genetic advance was highest for main shoot length followed by seed yield/plant and 1000 seed weight under irrigated conditions, whereas, secondary branches/plant followed by seed yield/ plant and siliquae/ plant showed higher estimates of genetic advance under rainfed conditions (Table 2). These findings indicate that there is good scope for development of genotypes having more main shoot length and increased seed weight which would perform better under favorable conditions.

Genotype	M	ean	Rar	nge	G	CV	PO	CV	h	12	0	ЪA
	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF	IR	RF
Secondary branches/	6.05	3.72	0.70-15.4	0.43-15.03	*	49.19	*	60.48	*	66.14	*	82.31
Siliquae/plant	171.90	140.39	66.2-276.0	49.38-265.4	*	14.77	*	27.96	*	27.89	*	16.07
Main shoot length (cm)	74.55	71.61	43.55-187.22	53.79-91.26	19.92	5.12	22.18	9.20	80.67	30.97	36.86	5.88
Siliquae on main shoot	42.09	41.53	27.09-54.09	30.48-61.61	9.53	9.51	11.45	12.47	68.90	58.11	16.26	14.92
Siliquae length (cm)	4.07	4.26	3.28-5.70	3.14-5.22	8.11	7.04	10.81	8.68	57.89	64.29	12.77	11.63
Seed yield/plant (g)	9.34	8.48	3.19-18.65	1.85-16.18	19.16	17.80	26.66	28.76	52.10	38.65	28.57	22.50
1000 seed weight (g)	4.93	5.73	3.31-6.8	4.11-7.06	11.16	8.02	12.58	10.12	76.92	61.76	20.07	12.95

Table 2. Mean, range, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability in broad sense and genetic advance in advanced progenies of Indian mustard

*Mean sum of squares were non - significant,

IR=Irrigated, RF=Rainfed, GCV=Genotypic coefficient of variation, PCV=Phenotypic coefficient of variation, h2=Heritability, GA=Genetic advance expressed as % of mean

Similarly, under rainfed conditions there is enough scope for development of promising genotypes having more number of siliquae/plant. High heritability values accompanied with high genetic advance were observed for main shoot length followed by seed yield/plant under irrigated conditions. Similar reports of high heritability with high genetic advance for these characters were made by Patel *et al.* (2006). This indicates that selection will be more effective for these characters in comparison to other.

Simple correlations were estimated between seed vield and component traits in irrigated as well as rainfed conditions (Table 3). Under irrigated conditions, seed vield/plant was positively and significantly correlated with plant height (0.241), primary branches/plant (0.381), secondary branches/plant (0.493), fruiting zone length (0.295), siliquae/plant (0.562), biological vield (0.852) and harvest index (0.613), while, under rainfed conditions, seed yield/plant was significantly and positively correlated with plant height (0.445), primary branches/plant (0.443), secondary branches/ plant (0.611), fruiting zone length (0.505), siliquae/plant (0.729), main shoot length (0.410), seeds/siliqua (0.283), biological yield (0.774) and harvest index (0.482). These results were in agreement with the earlier reports of Kardam and Singh (2005), Meena et al. (2008) and Singh et al. (2011). Among other important positive and significant associations noted, the association of plant height with primary branches/plant, secondary branches/plant, fruiting zone length and biological yield/ plant was observed under both the conditions which is in accordance with the report of Meena et al. (2008) and Singh et al. (2011). Significant positive association of primary branches/plant with secondary branches (rainfed and irrigated), fruiting zone length (only rainfed), siliquae/plant (rainfed and irrigated) and biological yield/plant (rainfed and irrigated) was observed. This result of present investigation is in accordance with the report of Kardam and Singh (2005). Significant positive association of secondary branches/plant with fruiting zone length, siliquae/plant, main shoot length and biological yield/plant was observed under both the conditions. Association of fruiting zone length with siliquae/plant, main shoot length, and biological yield/ plant was found significant and positive under both the conditions. Singh et al. (2010) also made similar observations. Association of siliquae/plant with main shoot length (only rainfed), seeds/siliquae (only rainfed) and biological yield was observed to be positive and significant (Kardam and Singh, 2005 and Singh et al., 2010). Seeds/siliquae and 1000 seed weight were found to be positivity and significantly associated with siliquae/ length under both the conditions (Singh et al., 2011)

On the basis of this study it can be concluded that plant height, primary and secondary branches/plant, fruiting zone length, siliquae/plant and biological yield/ plant are important traits for constructing selection index under both irrigated and rainfed situations which should be considered in selection programme.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Director, Directorate of Rapeseed Mustard Research, Sewar, Bharatpur for providing facilities to undertake this study.

Characters	Situation	Ηd	PB	SB	FZL	SLP	MSL	SMS	SL	SS	ΒΥ	SY	HI	1000 SW
Hd	I	1												
	RF	1												
PB	I	0.156	1											
	RF	0.375	1											
SB	I	0.218*	0.527**	1										
	RF	0.292**	0.484^{**}	1										
FZL	I	0.415**	0.138	0.328**	1									
	RF	0.624^{**}	0.469**	0.518**	1									
SLP	I	0.362^{**}	0.496**	0.634**	0.415**	1								
	RF	0.476^{**}	0.563	0.764**	0.673**	1								
MSL	I	0.144	-0.038	0.438**	0.368^{**}	0.196	1							
	RF	0.485**	0.183	0.450**	0.774**	0.556**	1							
SMS	I	0.334^{**}	0.083	0.188	0.394^{**}	0.459**	0.35**	1						
	RF	0.548^{**}	0.353**	0.554**	0.675**	0.681^{**}	0.63^{**}	1						
SL	I	-0.233	-0.221	-0.288**	-0.078	-0.201	0.066	-0.166	1					
	RF	-0.062	-0.115	-0.165	-0.114	-0.211	-0.031	-0.176	1					
SS	I	-0.018	-0.158	0.0125	0.087	0.091	0.113	0.526**	0.525**	1				
	RF	0.052	0.161	0.33	0.031	0.291^{**}	0.078	0.202	0.253*	1				
ВΥ	I	0.318^{**}	0.370**	0.531**	0.342**	0.502^{**}	0.118	0.155	0.097	0.233*	1			
	RF	0.415**	0.433**	0.609**	0.598**	0.732**	0.519**	0.454**	-0.096	0.191	1			
SY	I	0.214	0.381**	0.493**	0.295**	0.562^{**}	0.128	0.132	0.105	0.175	0.851**	1		
	RF	0.445**	0.443**	0.611^{**}	0.505**	0.729**	0.410^{**}	0.499**	-0.202	0.283**	0.774^{**}	1		
IH	I	0.004	0.156	0.182	0.097	0.352**	0.086	0.049	0.060	0.006	0.121	0.613**	1	
	RF	0.134	0.085	0.112	-0.005	0.137	-0.039	0.154	-0.195	0.132	-0.145	0.482**	1	
1000SW	I	-0.112	-0.131	-0.376	-0.159	-0.174	0.021	-0.152	0.338**	-0.077	-0.106	0.037	0.189	-
	RF	-0.169	-0.202	-0.159	-0.053	-0.203	0.019	-0.280	0.295**	-0.127	-0.163	-0.150	-0.002	1

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 28(2): 198-204 (2015)

References

- Abhishek R, Rajendar Prasad and VK Gupta (2004) Computer aided construction and analysis of augmented designs. J. Indian Soc. Agric. Stat. 57: 320-344.
- Burton GW (1952) Quantitative inheritance of grasses. Proceedings of 6th International Grassland Congress. 1: 227-283.
- Chauhan JS, MK Tyagi, A Kumar, NI Nashaat, M Singh, NB Singh, ML Jakhar and SJ Welham (2007) Drought effects on yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Plant Breed*. **126**: 399-402.
- Fedrer WT (1956) Augmented Design. *Hawain Planters Record.* **20**: 191-207.
- Hemingway JS (1976) Mustard: *Brassica* spp. and *Sinapis alba* (Cruciferae). *In*: Simmonds (ed.) *Evolution of Crop Plants*. Longmans, London, pp 56-59.
- Johnson HW, HF Robinson and RE Comstock (1955) Estimate of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* **47**: 314-318.
- Kardam DK and VV Singh (2005) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) grown under rainfed condition. J. Spic. Arom. Crops. 14: 56-60.
- Kumawat BL, DD Sharma and SC Jat (1997) Effect of Brassinosteroid on yield and yield attributing characteristics under water deficit stress condition in mustard (*B. juncea* L.). Ann. Biol. 13: 91-93.

- Meena SS, R Yadav and VV Singh (2008) Genetic variability for seed and seedling traits in the advance breeding lines of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. *Seed Res.* **36**: 152-156.
- Patel JM, KM Patel, CJ Patel and KP Prajapati (2006) Genetic parameter and inter relationship analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. and Coss.] *J. Oil Seed Res.* 23: 159-160.
- Singh SP and AK Choudhary (2003) Selection criteria for drought tolerance in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Indian J. Genet.* 63: 263-264.
- Singh VV, SS Meena, Rajbir Yadav, Nidhi Shrivastav and Arvind Kumar (2010) Genetic variation and character association in F₆ progenies of inter-specific crosses between *Brassica juncea* and *Brassica carinata*. *Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour.* 23: 168-171.
- Singh VV, M Singh, JS Chauhan and S Kumar (2011) Development and evaluation of full sib progenies in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) for moisture stress conditions. *Indian J. Genet.* **71**: 78-81.
- Singh VV, Sudheer Singh, Vandana Verma, SS Meena and Arvind Kumar (2009) Genetic variability for seedling traits in Indian mustard under moisture stress condition. *Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour.* **22**: 46-49.