# Association Analysis for Morphological and Biomass Traits in Albizzia lebbeck Seedlings

## AM Wani<sup>1\*</sup>, MS Wani<sup>2</sup> and A Bijalwan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Tree Breeding, School of Forestry and Environment, Allahabad Agricultural Institute Deemed University, Allahabad, Naini-211007, Uttar Pradesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir

<sup>3</sup>Indian Institute of Forest Management, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

(Received: 6 March 2010; Revised: 5 September 2011; Accepted: 24 October 2011)

Seeds of Albizzia lebbeck Benth from 20 different seed sources were collected from different eco-geographic regions of Uttar Pradesh to study of the morphological and biomass traits in the experimental area of the school of Forestry and Environment, green house and natural field conditions. The traits studied were seedling height, collar diameter, internodal length, branches/seedling, leaf area, shoot and root fresh and dry weight, shoot/root ratio and seedling biomass. Out of total 55 correlation combinations in both environments, 26 and 10 were positive and highly significant, 10 and 9 positive and significant. Seedling height showed positive and significant correlation with collar diameter, leaf area, shoot fresh weight and root dry weight. Shoot dry weight and root dry weight showed positive and significant correlation with seedling biomass under both environments. This suggests that there exits a strong inherent association

Key Words: Albizzia lebbeck, Genotypic correlation, Phenotypic correlation

Key Words: Albizzia lebbeck, Genotypic correla Introduction Albizzia lebbeck Benth. is commonly known as Siris belongs to the family Fabaceae and sub family mimosoideae. Albizzia is a large tropical genus containing 150 species, mainly shrubs and trees (Allen and Allen, [1981] and is native to decide our or decide our or decide our or decide our or decide our of decide our or decide our of d 1981) and is native to deciduous and semi-deciduous forests of Asia from Eastern Pakistan, throughout India and Sri Lanka to Myanmar (Everist, 1969). It occurs naturally in the sub-Himalayan tract from the Indus eastwards to the Khasi hills throughout the Indian peninsula and Andamans. It grows in the tropical rain forest of Burma and in the Himalayan valleys up to an altitude of 1600 m, with an annual rainfall range of 600-2500 mm and a temperature range 5°C to 46°C (Streets, 1962).

A. lebbeck is a well known leguminous fodder tree with very palatable leaves (Negi, 1977). It is extensively grown as a shade tree and which makes it a potentially valuable species for pasture development (Anonymous, 1980). It has soil-binding ability and tolerance to saline soil (Sommen, 1981). Paste of stem bark of A.lebbeck has been claimed to be useful in respiratory problem (asthma), snake bite, scorpion sting and malarial/intermittent fever (Chopra and Nayar, 1956). The flowers and leaves of the plant is reported to have antiseptic, anti dysenteric and anti tubercular properties. The bark, leaves, flowers, pods and

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 25(2): 161-165 (2012)

seeds are employed medically. Bark extracts have documented anti-inflammatory activity (Lowry, 1989).

The ultimate goal of the tree breeder is to improve tree species in terms of the quality and the quantity of wood produced. This can be achieved through the selection of superior genotypes for which an indirect selection is often performed. The expression of a character is sum total of the contribution of so many other characters and therefore, screening/selection should be done on the basis of components contributing towards that character. The biometrical tool used for this is correlation which gives the nature and degree of association between various traits. So, the knowledge of association of different characters is the first hand information for any improvement programme (Searle, 1961). The species, therefore, offers an opportunity to study existing variation and also to select the superior seed sources for adaptability and growth pattern. Due to longer rotation period of the tree, there is very less information available on its genetic improvement (Zobel and Talbert, 1984).

### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was carried out in the nursery of College of Forestry and Environment, Allahabad Agricultural Institute-Deemed University, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. The area is situated at 28.87° N latitude and 81.15°

<sup>\*</sup>Author for Correspondence: E-mail: afaqtree@gmail.com

Table 1. Passport data of collections from U.P.

Notation	District	Latitude*	Longitude*
$\overline{S_1}$	Meerut	29 °01'N	77°45'E
$S_2$	Ghaziabad	28 °40'N	77°28'E
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Bulandshahar	28°24'N	77°54'E
$S_{4}$	Aligarh	27°53'N	78°05'E
S <sub>5</sub>	Bareilly	28°22'N	79°27'E
S <sub>6</sub>	Mathura	27°28'N	77°41'E
S <sub>7</sub>	Etah	28°20'N	78°11'E
S <sub>8</sub>	Hathras	37°36'N	78°06'E
So	Agra	27°10'N	78°05'E
S <sub>10</sub>	Jhansi	25°27'N	78°37'E
S <sub>11</sub>	Kanpur	26°28'N	80°20'E
S <sub>12</sub>	Sitapur	27°35'N	79°37'E
S <sub>13</sub>	Lucknow	26°55'N	80°59'E
S <sub>14</sub>	Unnao	26°48'N	80°43'E
S <sub>15</sub>	Fatehpur	27°05'N	77°39'E
S <sub>16</sub>	Pratapgarh	25°34'N	81°59'E
S <sub>17</sub>	Allahabad	28°87'N	81° 15'E
S <sub>18</sub>	Ghazipur	25°35'N	83°34'E
S <sub>19</sub>	Varanasi	25°20'N	83°03'E
S <sub>20</sub>	Mirzapur	25°10'N	82 °37'E

\* Encarta U.S. geological survey

E longitude at an elevation of 78 m above mean sea level on Northern aspect. Mature pods from different parts of the crown for the present study was procured by selecting, the twenty phenotypically superior trees in the natural distribution zones of Uttar Pradesh on the basis of different morphological characters of fruit and seed character mentioned below: (a) tree height, (b) tree diameter,

(c) leaf area, (d) pod length, (e) pod width, (f) number of seeds/pod, (g) seed weight/pod. Five phenotypically superior trees of same size and free from any disease and pest were selected and observations were made. Out of these, the best one was considered as plus tree. Uniform and healthy seeds from mature pods of plus trees from twenty representing stands were collected to constitute the seed lot. The passport data of the plus trees is given in Table 1. For experimental purpose, the seeds were sown in a Complete Randomized Design under green house and Randomized Block Design under field conditions. Seeds of plus trees were sown in three replicates in polybags (10 x 25 cm) filled with a mixture of soil, sand and farm yard manure (1:1:1) at a depth of 0.5 cm. The observations were made for till 12-mo-old seedlings for different morphological and biomass traits viz., seedling height, collar diameter, inter-nodal length, branches per seedling, leaf area, shoot fresh weight, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, shoot: root ratio and seedling biomass. The data were statistically analyzed for each character in a Completely Randomized Design under green house and Randomized Block Design under field condition. Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient was worked out as per Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The significance of correlation coefficients was tested against 'r' values as given by Fisher and Yates (1963).

Table 2. Progeny mean for morphological and biomass traits of 20 superior trees of A. lebbeck in greenhouse environment Downloaded

Traits	Seedling	Collar	Internodal	Branches/	Leaf	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot/	Seedling
↓	(cm)	( cm)	(cm)	securing	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	weight (g)	weight (g)	weight (g)	weight (g)	ratio	(g)
S,	47.05	1.184	3.85	11.23	5.24	8.62	4.21	2.66	1.57	1.69	12.83
S <sub>2</sub>	43.25	1.067	4.52	10.57	5.63	8.66	4.71	1.98	1.59	1.25	13.37
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	41.04	1.079	3.69	11.01	5.35	10.52	4.99	2.89	1.32	2.19	15.51
$S_4$	39.15	0.856	3.74	11.46	5.57	7.20	3.05	1.91	1.19	1.61	10.25
S <sub>5</sub>	49.12	1.180	5.35	13.23	4.52	12.67	5.01	4.18	1.63	2.56	17.68
S <sub>6</sub>	41.51	0.967	4.08	11.12	5.68	11.21	5.01	2.46	1.58	1.56	16.22
S <sub>7</sub>	43.68	1.012	3.96	12.12	5.74	10.42	4.24	2.96	1.33	2.23	14.66
S <sub>8</sub>	35.35	0.893	4.46	12.79	4.29	8.58	3.35	2.07	1.61	1.29	11.93
S <sub>9</sub>	61.37	1.245	5.81	16.12	6.91	14.19	5.28	4.74	1.77	2.68	19.47
S <sub>10</sub>	46.30	1.079	3.52	10.79	5.52	11.88	4.27	3.20	1.41	2.27	16.15
S <sub>11</sub>	53.62	1.023	4.31	11.34	5.18	12.94	4.72	3.21	1.54	2.08	17.66
S <sub>12</sub>	58.95	1.189	4.16	14.68	5.91	12.42	3.64	3.05	1.21	2.52	16.06
S <sub>13</sub>	52.74	0.901	3.93	12.12	5.41	10.66	3.47	2.55	1.58	1.61	14.13
S <sub>14</sub>	48.53	0.922	4.62	11.57	5.79	10.73	3.31	3.06	1.34	2.28	14.04
S <sub>15</sub>	48.06	0.801	4.32	12.34	5.63	9.13	3.29	1.98	1.29	1.53	12.42
S <sub>16</sub>	40.12	0.656	4.34	10.23	4.63	10.15	4.10	2.30	1.54	1.49	14.25
S <sub>17</sub>	51.01	0.756	4.03	13.23	5.57	9.13	4.08	1.59	1.42	1.12	13.21
S <sub>18</sub>	46.23	0.734	4.42	13.91	4.41	10.66	3.24	2.42	1.19	2.03	13.90
S <sub>19</sub>	55.27	0.856	3.83	11.96	5.85	10.39	3.50	3.10	1.24	2.50	13.89
S <sub>20</sub>	47.80	0.901	4.37	15.01	4.37	9.39	3.01	1.86	1.18	1.58	12.40
Mean	47.51	0.966	4.27	12.34	5.36	10.48	4.02	2.71	1.77	1.90	14.50
Range	61.37-35.35	0.656-1.245	3.52- 5.81	10.23-16.12	4.29-6.91	7.2-14.19	3.01-5.28	1.59-4.74	1.18-1.77	1.12-2.68	10.25-19.47
S.E. ±	4.27	0.092	0.16	0.22	0.18	2.21	0.72	0.81	0.29	0.31	4.13
C.D. 5%	8.54	0.184	0.32	0.44	0.36	4.41	1.44	1.62	0.58	1.92	8.26

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 25(2): 161-165 (2012)

From IP - 14.139.224.50 on dated 11-Feb-2023

Table 3. Progeny mean for morphological and biomass traits of 20 superior trees of A. lebbeck in field

Traits	Seedling	Collar	Internodal	Branches/	Leaf	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot/	Seedling			
Sites	height	diameter	length	seedling	area	fresh	fresh	dry	dry	root	biomass			
¥	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)		(cm <sup>2</sup> )	weight (g)	weight (g)	weight (g)	weight (g)	ratio	(g)			
S <sub>1</sub>	13.54	0.873	2.4	7.98	4.43	2.46	1.52	0.42	0.44	0.95	3.98			
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	14.73	0.973	2.51	8.12	4.71	2.90	2.06	0.63	0.84	0.75	4.96			
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	14.71	0.907	2.66	8.31	4.44	2.44	1.74	0.43	0.52	0.83	4.18			
$S_4$	10.47	0.784	2.12	7.76	4.1	1.53	1.67	0.38	0.54	0.70	3.20			
S <sub>5</sub>	12.67	0.884	2.32	8.23	4.65	2.73	1.15	0.50	0.73	0.68	3.88			
S <sub>6</sub>	15.16	0.996	2.48	8.64	5.15	3.38	2.38	0.84	1.03	0.82	5.76			
S <sub>7</sub>	13.35	0.873	2.65	8.26	4.71	2.8	1.93	0.61	0.81	0.75	4.73			
S <sub>8</sub>	14.51	0.851	2.39	8.53	5.21	2.74	1.94	0.57	0.78	0.73	4.68			
S	14.52	0.984	2.63	8.42	4.82	2.76	1.84	0.6	0.72	0.83	4.6			
S <sub>10</sub>	11.89	0.807	2.31	7.03	4.6	2.55	1.71	0.36	0.61	0.59	4.26			
S <sub>11</sub>	24.01	1.029	2.93	9.94	5.66	4.04	2.43	0.89	1.13	0.79	6.47			
S <sub>12</sub>	17.47	0.707	2.27	8.32	4.18	2.24	1.27	0.41	0.45	0.91	3.51			
S <sub>13</sub>	12.9	0.662	1.77	7.23	4.37	1.98	1.24	0.23	0.33	0.70	3.22			
S <sub>14</sub>	18.96	0.707	2.61	8.56	4.54	2.45	1.46	0.47	0.37	1.27	3.91			
S <sub>15</sub>	14.28	0.729	2.24	7.64	4.10	2.36	1.2	0.45	0.38	1.18	3.56			
S <sub>16</sub>	19.17	0.762	2.71	9.23	4.38	3.69	2.22	0.86	1.05	0.82	5.91			
S <sub>17</sub>	20.95	1.016	2.88	9.82	5.54	3.99	2.31	0.77	1.12	0.69	6.30			
S <sub>18</sub>	19.94	0.962	2.86	8.21	4.43	3.57	2.23	0.53	0.91	0.58	5.80			
S <sub>19</sub>	20.27	1.004	2.64	8.42	4.32	3.64	2.26	0.61	0.93	0.66	5.90			
3 S <sub>20</sub>	13.23	0.718	2.23	8.62	4.6	2.53	1.13	0.35	0.29	1.21	3.66			
Mean	15.84	0.861	2.48	8.36	4.65	2.84	1.78	0.55	0.70	0.82	4.62			
Range	10.47-24.01	0.662-1.029	1.77-2.93	7.03-9.94	4.1-5.66	1.53-4.04	1.13-2.43	0.23-0.89	0.29-1.13	0.58-1.27	3.20-6.47			
S.E.±	1.06	0.043	0.18	0.34	0.11	0.20	0.20	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.38			
C.D. 5%	3.14	0.129	0.53	1.00	0.34	0.61	0.58	0.18	0.29	0.35	1.13			
00														
	1.51													
Kesults and Discussion							and direction of association between two or more characters							
The mean range and variation under green house and field							may be measured by genotypic and phenotypic coefficients							
	in, runge and	vuriution u	Sicci Siccii	nouse and		of correl	ation den	endingon	the type of	f material	s studied			
conditio	n of twenty s	superior tree	e progeny a	are presen	ted in	The second account of the type of materials studied								
Table 2	and 3 Rang	e of seedlin	ng height v	varied het	The knowledge of genotypic interrelationship betweer									

<sup>L</sup> Table 2 and 3. Range of seedling height varied between 35.35-61.37 cm under green house condition and 10.47-24.01 cm under field environment; collar diameter 0.656-ਵੈ1.245 cm and 0.662-1.029 cm; inter-nodal length 3.52-<sup>8</sup>5.81 cm and 1.77-2.93 cm; branches/seedling 10.23-16.12 and 7.03-9.94; leaf area 4.29-6.91 cm<sup>2</sup> and 4.1-5.66 cm<sup>2</sup>; shoot fresh weight 7.2-14.19 g and 1.53-4.04 g; root fresh weight 3.01-5.28 g and 1.13-2.43 g; shoot dry weight 1.59-4.74 g and 0.23-0.89 g; root dry weight 1.18-1.77 g and 0.29-1.13 g; shoot/root ratio 1.12-2.68 and 0.58-1.27; seedling biomass 10.25-19.47 g and 3.2-6.47 g, respectively. Collar diameter and leaf area recorded similar variation under both the environments and there was not much difference in the range for these traits in all the genotypes. However, seedling height, branches/seedling, shoot fresh weight, shoot dry weight and seedling biomass varied greatly and it was recorded maximum for S<sub>o</sub> collection under green house whereas, under field environment it was found highest for  $S_{11}$  followed by  $S_{17}$ .

Estimation of phenotypic and genotypic correlation between various traits provide better understanding to the improver/breeder during selection especially when selection is based on two or more characters. The intensity

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 25(2): 161-165 (2012)

and direction of association between two or more characters may be measured by genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of correlation depending on the type of materials studied. The knowledge of genotypic interrelationship between characters may be either due to pleiotropic action of genes or due to linkage or more likely both. The main genetic cause of such correlation is pleiotropy, which refers to the manifold effects of the gene.

It is clear from the Table 4 and 5 that phenotypic correlation coefficients were lower than the genotypic correlation coefficients. This suggests that it could be either due to the modifying effect of environment or the strong inherent association of characters at genetic level. Seedling height showed positive and significant correlation with collar diameter, leaf area, shoot fresh weight and root dry weight. Collar diameter with branches/seedling. Internodal length showed positive and significant correlation with branches/seedling. Branches/seedling with leaf area and shoot dry weight. Leaf area with shoot dry weight. Shoot fresh weight with root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and seedling biomass. Root fresh weight showed positive and significant correlation with seedling biomass but negative and significant correlation with shoot: root ratio. Shoot dry weight and root dry weight showed positive and significant correlation with seedling biomass under both environments. A positive genetic correlation

Characters		Seedling height	Collar diameter	Internodal length	Branches/ seedling	Leaf area	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Shoot/ root ratio	Seedling biomass
Seedling height (cm)	r <sub>n</sub>	1.000	0.865**	0.385	0.323	0.652*	0.869**	0.658*	0.850**	0.703*	0.323	0.747**
	r	1.000	0.883**	0.964**	0.214	0.697*	0.484	0.667*	0.890**	0.850**	0.466	0.851**
Collar diameter (mm)	r		1.000	0.417	0.276	0.285	0.787**	0.715*	0.732*	0.779**	0.079	0.846**
	r		1.000	0.725*	0.972**	0.843**	0.218	0.793**	0.854**	0.862**	-0.198	0.889**
Internodal length (cm)	r			1.000	0.782**	0.717*	0.406	0.263	0.384	0.303	0.343	0.374
	r			1.000	0.886**	0.912**	0.183	0.656*	0.401	0.442	0.463	0.536
Branches/seedling	r				1.000	0.756*	0.273	0.089	0.367	0.151	0.468	0.340
	r				1.000	0.870**	0.329	0.517	0.756*	0.283	0.773*	0.976**
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	rn					1.000	0.445	0.118	0.421	0.106	0.435	0.364
	r					1.000	0.857**	0.342	0.672*	0.528	0.546	0.627*
Shoot fresh weight (g)	r						1.000	0.755*	0.871**	0.724*	0.257	0.935**
	r						1.000	0.774*	0.973**	0.756*	0.367	0.973**
Root fresh weight (g)	r							1.000	0.434	0.919**	-0.247	0.873**
	r							1.000	0.536	0.978**	-0.756*	0.932**
Shoot dry weight (g)	r								1.000	0.505	0.456	0.746*
	r								1.000	0.619	0.943**	0.852**
Root dry weight (g)	r									1.000	0.658*	0.808**
	r									1.000	0.715*	0.921**
Shoot/root ratio	r										1.000	0.256
	r										1.000	0.329
Seedling biomass (g)	rn											1.000
	r											1.000

Table 4. Phenotypic  $(r_{v})$  and genotypic  $(r_{v})$  correlation coefficients between morphological and biomass traits of *A. lebbeck* in Greenhouse

Table 5. Phenotypic  $(r_n)$  and genotypic  $(r_p)$  correlation coefficients between morphological and biomass traits of A. lebbeck in field

I-Feb-2023	Seedling biomass (g)	r <sub>g</sub> r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>										1.000	0.329 1.000 1.000
dated 1	* Significant at 5% level	l of sig	nificance;	** Significa	ant at 1% leve	l of significa	nce						
4.50 on	Table 5. Phenotypic (r <sub>p</sub>	) and	genotypic	(r <sub>g</sub> ) correla	tion coefficie	nts between	morpholo	ogical and	l biomass t	traits of A	. <i>lebbeck</i> i	in field	
- 14.139.22	Characters		Seedling height	Collar diameter	Internodal length	Branches/ seedling	Leaf area	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Shoot/ root ratio	Seedling biomass
From IP	Seedling height (cm)	r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>	1.000 1.000	0.665* 0.739*	0.245 0.351	0.373 0.458	0.742* 0.783*	0.674* 0.798*	0.374 0.426	0.643 <b>*</b> 0.783*	0.453 0.521	-0.220 -0.198	0.578 0.431
paded F	Collar diameter (mm)	rp rg		1.000 1.000	0.374 0.532	0.473 0.692*	0.193 0.284	0.338 0.484	0.225 0.473	0.453 0.578	0.125 0.367	-0.167 -0.045	0.267 0.088
Downle	Internodal length (cm)	r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>			1.000 1.000	0.483 0.863**	0.327 0.439	0.148 0.322	0.135 -0.389	0.367 0.583	0.042 0.274	0.050 0.250	0.092 0.134
	Branches/seedling	r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>				$1.000 \\ 1.000$	0.594 0.953**	0.158	-0.197 -0.280	0.452 0.767*	-0.257 -0.382	0.246 0.533	-0.029 -0.254
	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>					1.000	0.136	-0.182 -0.231	0.412	0.251	0.279	0.072
	Shoot fresh weight (g)	r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>						1.000	0.853** 0.932** 1.000	0.856**	0.850***	-0.634** -0.825** 0.751*	0.870***
	Shoot dry weight (g)	r <sub>g</sub>							1.000	0.743*	0.963**	0.793*	0.974**
	Root dry weight (g)	r <sub>g</sub> r_								1.000	0.793** 1.000	-0.424 -0.676	0.706* 0.646*
	Shoot/root ratio	r <sub>g</sub> r <sub>p</sub>									1.000	-0.843** 1.000	0.928** -0.723*
	Seedling biomass (g)	r <sub>g</sub> r <sub>p</sub> r <sub>g</sub>										1.000	-0.901** 1.000 1.000

\*Significant at 5% level of significance; \*\*Significant at 1% level of significance

between two desirable traits makes the job of the plant breeder easy for improving both traits simultaneously because any one of the two characters would be a reliable measure of the other. This also suggests that there exists a strong inherent association between these characters. Therefore, these trait seems to be under strong genetic control and is quite amenable for selection. Root dry weight showed negative but significant correlation with shoot:

Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 25(2): 161-165 (2012)

root ratio under both, green house and field environment. These non significant associations between two characters advocate that they are independent of each other and could be selected separately. The above findings support the results of Manga and Sen (1998) in *Prosopis cineraria*, Chauhan and Verma (1993) in *Acacia catechu*, Thakur *et al.* (2000) in *Alnus nitida*, Raj *et al.* (2006) in *Bambusa bambos* and Wani *et al.* (2008) in *Bauhinia variegata*.

### References

- Allen ON and EK Allen (1981) *Albizzia* in the Leguminosae. University of Wisconsin Press: Madison, pp 30-32.
- Anonymous (1980) *Albizzia lebbeck* in Firewood crops. National Academy of Sciences: Washington D.C., pp 110-111.
- Chauhan VK and S Verma (1993) Progeny performance of different provenance of khair (Acacia catechu). J. Tree Sci. 12: 51-54.
- Chopra RN and SL Nayar (1956) *Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants.* CSIR, pp 350.
- Deway DR and KH Lu (1959) Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of crested wheat grass production. *Agron. J.* **51**: 515-518.
- Everist SL (1969) Use of fodder trees and shrubs. Queensland Department of Primary Industries Advisory Leaflet No. **1024**: 36.
- Fisher RA and Y Yates (1963) *Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medicinal Research* Edinberg: Oliver and Boyd, Ltd.

- Lowry JB (1989) Agronomy and forage quality of *Albizia lebbeck* in the semi-arid tropics. *Trop. Grasslands* **23**: 84-91.
- Manga VK and DN Sen (1998) Variability and association among root and shoot traits in seedlings of *Prosopis cineraria*. J. Tree Sci. 17: 33-38.
- Negi SS (1977) Fodder trees in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Forester* **103:** 616-622.
- Panse VG and PV Sukhatme (1967) Statistical Method for Agricultural Workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 610p.
- Raj AJ, M Paramathtma and G Kumaravelu (2006) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in *Bambusa bambos* (L). Voss. *Indian Forester* 132: 1077-1082.
- Searle SR (1961) Phenotypic genotypic and environmental correlations. *Biomet.* **17:** 474-480.
- Sommen FV (1981) Farm Forestry. In: RL Reid (ed.). A Manual of Australia Agriculture, William Heinemann: Melbourne, pp 277-280.
- Streets RJ (1962) *Exotic Forest Trees in the British Commonwealth.* Clarendon Press: Oxford, pp 169-170.
- Thakur IK, RC Thakur and A Gupta (2000) Variability heritability and genetic advance estimates in *Alnus nitida* at nursery stage. *Indian J. Trop. Biodiver.* 78: 73-76.
- Wani AM, AJ Raj and KC Chauhan (2008) Association analysis for morphological and biomass traits in *Bauhinia variegata* seedlings. *Indian J. Trop. Biodiver.* 16: 119-127.
- Zobel B and J Talbert (1984) *Applied Forest Tree Improvement*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.