SHORT COMMUNICATION

Relative Susceptibility of Maize Single Cross Hybrids to Pink Stem Borer (Sesamia inferens Walker)

JC Sekhar¹, Sujay Rakshit^{2*}, Pradyumn Kumar², M Anuradha³, Mehrajuddin⁴ and Sain Dass²

¹Winter Nursery Center, Directorate of Maize Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, Andhra Pradesh, India ²Directorate of Maize Research, Pusa Campus, New Delhi-110012, Andhra Pradesh, India ³Maize Research Centre, ANGRAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India ⁴CIMMYT India, ICRISAT, Patancheru, Hyderabad-500030, Andhra Pradesh, India

Key Words: Sesamia inferens, Maize, Leaf injury rating (LIR), Least susceptible, Rabi

Among all cereal crops in India, maize has recorded the maximum the growth rate in terms of area, production and productivity. However, productivity of maize in India (2.10 t/ha) is quite low as compared to world average (4.08 t/ha). One of the major causes of low productivity in maize is the damage done to the crop by borers at various stages of crop growth. Pink borer (*Sesamia inferens*) is an important pest during winter season in peninsular India. The grain yield losses due to *S. inferens* in different maize genotypes range from 25.7-78.9% (Chatterji *et al.*, 1969). For resistance breeding, evaluation of breeding materials for their resistance is very much essential. An attempt has been made to determine the level of resistance against pink borer among single cross hybrids from CIMMYT.

The experimental material was comprised of a set of 45 single cross hybrids from CIMMYT along with two susceptible checks, *viz.*, Basi local and DHM 105; and one resistant check, CM 500. The experiment was carried out in randomized block design with two replications during *rabi* 2005-06 at Hyderabad in 2.5 m row plots with inter and intra-row spacing of 75 cm and 20 cm, respectively. Each 10-12 days old maize seedling was infested with 12-15 neonate larvae. The leaf injury ratings (LIR) on 1-9 scale were recorded thirty days after infestation according to Rao (1983). The mean LIR were subjected to analysis of variance using Windostat software version 8.0.

Analysis of variation (ANOVA) showed significant variance among the genotypes in terms of response to pink borer attack. LIR scores of the 45 single crosses involving CIMMYT CA and P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B lines and resistant and susceptible checks are presented in Table 1. The hybrids were grouped into four categories based on LIR: i) Least susceptible-LIR less than resistant check, CM 500, ii) Moderately susceptible

J. Plant Genet. Resour. 21(2): 155-156 (2008)

-LIR above the resistant check and less than susceptible check 1, iii) Susceptible-LIR between susceptible check 1, Basi local and susceptible check 2, DHM 105, iv) Highly susceptible- LIR above the susceptible check 2.

It was found that the cross CAO 0102 x CA14502 (4.5) was least susceptible having the LIR less than the resistant check CM 500 (5.2). Four crosses, namely, CAO 3139 x CA14502 (5.80), CA14701 x CA 14502 (5.50), CA 14502 x P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B (5.9) and P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B x CAO3116. The remaining 3116(5.4) were found to be moderately susceptible. The single crosses, namely, CA 14514 P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B, CA0 3141'CAO 0102, CA 14502'CAO 3116, P31 C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B'CA03118, CA 14509'CA14701, CA 14502'CAO 3118 were susceptible with LIR between 6.2 and 6.9. The remaining thirty four crosses were highly susceptible. CAO3139 x P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B and CA14514 x CA 14502 recorded highest leaf injury rating of 9.0 on 1-9 scale. The least susceptible cross CAO 0102 x CA 14502 and the moderately susceptible crosses CAO 3139 x CA14502 (5.80), CA14701 x CA 14502(5.50), CA 14502 x P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B (5.9) and P31 C4S5B-23-#-4-BBB-B-B x CAO3116 could be used directly in the areas where pink stem borer is a problem or utilized in the derivation of new lines adaptable to this environment. These crosses with moderate levels of resistance to pink borer will serve well keeping in view the need of the resource poor farmers and the ecological consequences of chemical control. The same views were opined by Bergvinson et al. (2002). In earlier studies Panwar et al. (2001) reported two genotypes resistant against C. partellus, while Sekhar et al. (2004) identified seven sources of resistance against S. inferens.

14.139.224.50 on dated 9-Feb-2023

à

From

ð

Table 1. Relative susceptibility of yellow single cross hybrids to pink stem borer

S.No.	Pedigree	Mean LIR (1-9 scale)
	Least susceptible	
1	CAO 0102'CA 14502	4.5
	Moderately susceptible	
2	P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B' CAO 3116	5.4
3	CA 14701 CA14502	5.5
4	CAO 3139'CA 14502	5.8
5	CA 14502'P31 C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	5.9
	Susceptible	
6	CA 14514 P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	6.0
7	CA0 3141 CAO 0102	6.1
8	CA 14502'CAO 3116	6.5
9	P31 C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B'CA03118	6.6
10	CA 14509'CA14701	6.7
11	CA 14502´CAO 3118	6.8
	Highly susceptible	
12	CA 14514'CAO 3118	6.9
13	CAO 3141'CA 14502	6.9
14	CA14701 P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	7.0
15	CAO 3139'CAO 0102	7.1
16	CAO 3139'CAO 3118	7.1
17	CA 145141'CAO 3141	7.1
18	CA 14514'CA 14701	7.1
19	CAO 3141 CA14701	7.1
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 22	CA 14509'P31 C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	7.1
21	CA 14509'CAO3118	7.1
22	CAO 3139'CA 14701	7.2
23	CAO 0102'P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	7.2
24	CA 14514'CAO 0102	7.3
25	CA 14509'CA 14502	7.3
26	CAO 03139'CA 14514	7.5
27	CAO 3139'CAO 3116	7.6
28	CAO 0102'CAO 3116	7.6
29	CAO 3141'CAO 3118	7.8
30	CAO 3139'CAO 14509	7.9
31	CA 14514'CAO 3116	7.9
32	CA 03141 CAO 14509	8.1
33	CAO 0102'CA 14701	8.1
34	CA 14509'CAO 3116	8.2
35	CAO 0102'CAO 3118	8.2
36	CAO 3116'CAO 3118	8.2
37	CA 14509'CAO 0102	8.4
38	CAO 3139'CAO 3141	8.5
39	CA 14514'CAO 14509	8.6
40	CAO 3141 P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	8.7
41	CAO 3141'CAO 3116	8.7
42	CA 14701'CAO 3116	8.8
43	CA 14701 CAO 3118	8.8
44	CAO 3139 P31C4S5B-23-#-#-4-BBB-B-B	9.0
45	CA 14514'CA 14502	9.0
46	CM 500 (Resistant check1)	5.2
40	BASI LOCAL (Susceptible check 1)	6.0
47	DHM 105 (Susceptible check 2)	0.0 6.9
-0	Dini 103 (Buseephole Click 2)	0.9

SE (D) 1.3

References

Bergvinson DJ, SK Vassal, NN Singh, VPS Panwar and JC Sekha	r
(2002) Advances in conventional breeding for insect resistanc	е
in tropical maize. In: Proceedings of the 8 th Asian Regional	l
Maize Workshop, Bangkok, Thailand, 5-8 August, 2002, p	р
325-332.	

- Chatterji SM, WR Young, GC Sharma, IV Sayi, BS Chhal, BP Khare, VS Rathore, VPS Panwar and KH Siddiqui (1969) Estimation of loss in yield of maize due to insect pests with special reference to borers. *Indian. J. Ent.* **31**(2): 109-115.
- Panwar VPS, NN Singh, SK Vasal and D Bergvinson (2001) Resistance of exotic germplasm to the Asian maize stalk borer *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe). *Indian J. Genetics Plant Breed.* 61(4): 356-357.
- Rao AB (1983) Techniques of scoring resistance to maize stalk borer (*Sesamia inferens*). In: J Singh (ed.) *Techniques of Scoring for Resistance to the Major Insect Pests of Maize*. All India Coordinated Maize Improvement Project, New Delhi, pp. 16-26.
- Sekhar JC, D Bergvinson, S Venkatesh, RK Sharma, MLK Reddy and NN Singh (2004). Reaction of exotic maize germplasm to pink borer *Sesamia inferens* Walker. *Indian J. Ent.* 66(3): 261-263.
- Siddiqui KH and KK Marwaha (1993) The Vistas of Maize Entomology in India. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, pp 184.

www.IndianJournals.com Members Copy, Not for Commercial Sale

J. Plant Genet. Resour. 21(2): 155-156 (2008)

CD (0.05) 2.7