# Crop Improvement in Guava: An Overview

#### George Thomas<sup>1</sup> and Sanu Jacob<sup>2</sup>

Department of Horticulture, Allahabad Agricultural Institute (Deemed University), Allahabad-211 007 (Uttar Pradesh)
 Department of Horticulture, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar (Orissa)

Guava is a major fruit crop of India and popularly known as 'apple of the tropics' since it is consumed as a fresh fruit or processed into a variety of products. Efforts have been made over the past few decades to widen its genetic base by creating new variability and utilizing it for the selection of elite variety or hybrids for commercial cultivation. Attempts are still on to improve upon the existing commercial cultivars through selection of germplasm and combining the desirable traits of various genotypes through hybridization. A variety with in-built resistance to the biotic and abiotic resistance besides high yielding capacity of good quality fruit is lacking in guava. The DNA marker assisted selection has the potential to introduce and deploy favourable gene combinations for disease control along with the other agronomically important traits. The available information covering different aspects of crop improvement of guava has been reviewed.

### Key words: Breeding, Crop Improvement, Genetic Diversity, Germplasm Management, Guava, Marker-Assisted Selection, Molecular Markers, *Psidium guajava* Linn

The common guava (Psidium guajava Linn) is mainly self pollinated, but cross pollination is also common resulting in a greater variation in the seedling population. Seed propagation, therefore, has created considerable variability from which promising genotypes were selected in different agro-climatic regions of the country (Pathak and Ojha, 1993). A proper understanding and management of variation present within the natural and other cultivated varieties as well as in the wild relatives of guava is very essential in the establishment of an efficient programme aimed at its crop improvement. The description and nomenclature of the present day guava varieties are greatly confusing and the genetic uniformity in the crop is undesirable because it tends to make the crop more vulnerable to epidemics. Hence from the germplasm maintenance and breeding point of view, the identification of duplicate accessions in different cultivar names and its elimination from the germplasm collections is yet another important task. The promising guava cultivars which are deficient in one or more traits can be improved by crossing such cultivars between the lines which possess the desired trait. A conventional breeding programme thus involves crossing the whole genome followed by selection of superior recombinants from several segregation products. Such a procedure is laborious and time consuming involving several crosses, many generations and careful phenotypic selection. Also tight linkage of desired loci with an undesired loci may make it difficult to achieve the desired objective. With the advent of DNA marker technology, several types of DNA markers and molecular breeding strategies are now available to plant breeders

it still occurs in the wild and forms extensive thickets. It is common throughout all warm areas of tropical America and in the West Indies (Purseglove, 1968) and was domesticated 2000 years ago (Cobley, 1976). Guava was adapted as a crop in the warmer parts of Africa and Asia including India during early 17<sup>th</sup> century soon

**Origin and Distribution** 

The common guava, *Psidium guajava* Linn, is widely distributed throughout the world and is grown mainly for its fruits in many tropical and subtropical countries. It grows in a wide range of environments from sea level up to an altitude of 1500 m (Singh, 1985) throughout Asia, South Africa, America and Australia. It exceeds the other fruit crops in productivity, adaptability and in the total vitamin content of fruits. Guava is an important fruit crop in India and stands fourth in area and production after mango, banana and citrus (Anonymous, 1985). In India, the most important guava growing states are Uttar Pradesh (UP), Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra.

and geneticists helping them to overcome many of the

problems faced during conventional plant breeding. The

present effort outlines the history of the crop and to

review the present status of guava crop improvement

programmes conducted at various centres with special

emphasis on the novel technologies which could be

The guava is believed to have originated in tropical

America, from Mexico to Peru (Hayes, 1953), where

after it was introduced by Spanish and Portuguese

colonizers (Hayes, 1953; Menzel, 1985; Singh, 1985).

implemented in its breeding programme.

Allahabad (UP) has the reputation of growing the best quality of guava fruits in the world (Mitra and Bose, 1990). It is cultivated on a commercial scale in many countries and India leads the world in guava production (Adsule and Kadam, 1995). The other major producers of guava are Mexico, Pakistan, Colombia, Egypt, South Africa, Venezuela, Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, Jamaica, Kenya, Australia and Hawaii.

### The Plant and its Importance

The guavas belong to the genus Psidium (family -Myrtaceae) which comprises approximately 153 species of trees and shrubs and about 20 species have edible fruits (Hayes, 1953; Iver and Subramanyan, 1987; Yaday, 1990). Out of these, the common guava Psidium guajava is commercially and economically utilized so far. The plant is a shallow rooted shrub or a small tree up to 33 feet with spreading branches close to the ground with an attractive 'bony' appearance of the trunk. Young twigs are quadrangular and downy with opposite decussate with short-petioled leaves. The flowers are characteristic by a prominent tuft of around 250 abundantly incurred white stamens of various size. The guava trees produce a large number of fruits which vary between genotypes in colour, size, flavour and has a characteristic musky odour. The guava fruit is a rich source of vitamin C - contains four to five times higher than in citrus fruits; vitamin A, vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (riboflavin), thiamin, niacin and minerals like calcium, phosphorus and iron. The fruit pulp is a commercial source of pectin and oil from its seeds. Ripe guavas are eaten out of hand and are processed into innumerable products. Guava shells, concentrated pulp, paste, jelly, jam, juice powder and confectionaries are a few among them. The guava fruits are often referred as 'apple of the tropics' (Rangacharlu, 1954; Menzel, 1985). The wood is valued for engravings, useful as tool handles and in making implements. The leaves, bark and immature fruits are rich in tannin and are used for dyeing. The roots, bark, leaves and immature fruits can be used in local medicine.

## **Related Species of Common Guava**

The important cultivated species related to common guava are Brazilian guava or Guisaro (*Psidium guinese* Sw); Cattley guava or Strawberry Guava (*P. cattleanum* Sibne), Costa Rican guava (*P. friedrichsthalianum* Ndz), Para guava (*P. acutangulaum* DC), *P. littorale, P. longipes, P. montanum, P. microphyllum, P. sartorianum, P. quidanense* and the other genus *Myrcaria floribunda* Berg, the Rumberry or guavaberry (Mortan, 1987). Species like *Psidium araca, P. cattleianum, P. corecium, P. cujavillus, P. cumini, P. friedrichsthalianum, P. guinese, P. molle, P. quidanense* and phillipine guava are reported to be tolerant or resistant to the wilt disease (Edward and Shankar 1964; OmPrakash and Mishra, 1993; Rawal, 1993).

### Genetic Diversity among Cultivars

Genetic variation and its assessment in the existing germplasm of guava is an essential component to its improvement. Plant breeders need the sources of genetic diversity to draw upon when required, to breed for better nutritive value, adaptability and resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses. Among the cultivated genotypes of common guava, extensive genetic diversity exists in different countries (Teaotia, 1967; Pandey, 1968; Purseglove, 1968). Diversity exists in tree size, flowering and fruiting characteristics, yield, qualitative fruit characters like size, shape, skin colour, texture and colour of flesh, flavour, aroma, ascorbic acid content of fruit, pulp to seed ratio, seed characteristics, postharvest characteristics and susceptibility to pests and diseases (Mortan, 1987). A list of germplasm collections of different agroclimatic regions of the world is given in Table 1.

The germplasm evaluation studies have successfully identified some promising genotypes which have economic potential in early flowering and maturity, yield, qualitative fruit characteristics, post harvest and fruit processing characteristics and tolerance or resistance to biotic stresses (Pathak and Ojha, 1993; Subramanyan and Iyer, 1993).

#### **Genetics and Breeding**

The cultivated guava is a diploid species (basic chromosome number is 11; 2n = 22) with a high bearing capacity. However, it has a disadvantage of high seed content. A natural as well as an artificial triploid species 2n = 3x = 33 produces seedless fruits but they are almost shy bearers (Kumar and Ranade, 1952; Sharma and Majumdar, 1957; Raman *et al.* 1969, 1971). Naithani and Srivastava (1966) reported natural autotetraploids in the genus. Ramkumar (1973) induced tetraploidy in Allahabad Safeda variety by treating shoot tip with 0.1 % aqueous solution of colchicine. Ploidy levels higher than this are not reported in guava. Studies on the inheritance pattern of important traits in guava have indicated that the flesh colour of fruits and the seed size are linked characters; Red pulp colour is dominant

Country & Cultivar	Pulp colour								
Bangladesh		Florida (Contd)		India (Contd)		India (Contd)		India (Contd)	
Deshipeyara	n.a.	Red Indian	R	Allahabad-	W	Hybrid 1	w	Wickramasekara	R
Kazipeyara	n.a.	Red land	w	Safada		Hybrid 16-1	w	WhiteSupreme-	w
Sarupakatti	n.a.	Ruby	R	Allababad-	w	Hybrid 45	n.a.	xRuby	
Mukundhupuri	n.a.	Supreme	w	Surkha	n.	Hybrid 53	n.a.	Malaysia	
Brazil		France		Am Sophri	n.a.	Hybrid 84	n.a.	Gu 4	n.a.
Brune Branca	n.a.	Acid Speer	PY	Anakapalle	R	Hybrid 105	n.a.	Gu 5	n.a.
IAC – 4	n.a.	Beaumont	Р	Aneuploid-82	n.a.	Hybrid 161	n.a.	Gu 7	n.a.
IMC	n.a.	Elisabeth	Р	Apple Colour	n.a.	Indonesian-	n.a.	HongKong Pink	n.a.
РВ	n.a	Large White	w	Arka Amulya	n.a.	Seedless		Jambu Biji	n.a.
PV	n.a.	Patricia	PO	Arka Mridula	w	Kafroe	R	Miami	
Rica	n.a.	Pink Indian	R	AS 1	n.a.	Karela	w	Miami Red	R
TL	n.a.	Red Hybrid	R	AS 2	n.a.	Kohir Safada	w	Miami White	w
California		RedxSupreme-	DP	AS 3	n.a.	Kothrud	R	New South Wale	s
Detwiler	n.a.	xRuby		Banarasi	R	Lalit	n.a.	GA 11-56	n.a.
Hart	PY	RedxSupreme-	CW	Banarasi-	w	Lal Seiba	R	1050	n.a.
Red Indian	R	XRubyxWhite		Surkha		Lucknow-24	n.a.	Puerto Rico	
Rolfs	Р	Stone	DP	Bangalore	w	Lucknow-42	w	Corozal Mixta	n.a.
Turnbull	n.a.	Supreme	Р	Bariampur	n.a.	Lucknow-46	n.a.	Corriente	n.a.
Webber	ΡY	SupremexRuby	W	Beaumont G35	Р	Lucknow-49	w	Seedling 57-6-79	n.a.
White Indian	w	Hawaii		Behat Coconut	w	Madhuri-am	n.a.	Queensland	
Coloumbia		Allahabad-	w	BHR – 3	n.a.	Mirzapuri	W	GA9-39-R1T2	n.a.
Agrio	n.a.	Safada		BHR – 5	n.a.	M25988	n.a.	GA11-56R1T1	n.a.
D-13	n.a.	Apple	n.a.	Chakaiya	n.a.	Nagpur Seedless	n.a.	GA11-56R5T2	n.a.
D-14	n.a.	Beaumont	Р	China Surkha	R	Nasik	W	GA11-564T1	n.a.
Puerto Rico	n.a.	Burma	n.a.	Chittidar	W	Navalur	n.a.	GA11-56T3	n.a.
Red	n.a.	HongKong Pink	Р	CHN	n.a.	Oakey Pink	n.a.	GA11-56T7	n.a.
Rojo Africano	n.a.	HongKongWhite	W	Dharbar	w	Patillo	n.a.	South Africa	
Trujillo 2	n.a.	Indonesian-	W	Dharidar	n.a.	Portugal	n.a.	Dimple	n.a.
White	n.a.	seedless		Dharwar	w	Redfleshed	R	Fan Retief	n.a.
Cuba		Indonesian-	w	Dholka	R	Rewa 72	n.a.	Frank Malherbe	n.a.
Belic L-97	n.a.	White		EthridgeSelection	n.a.	Safeda	w	Fredene	n.a.
Belic L-207	n.a.	Ka Hua Kula	DP	FloridaSeedling	n.a.	Safrior Payere	n.a.	Fredericka	n.a.
EEA 18-40	n.a.	Lucknow-49	w	FS 1	n.a.	Sangam	n.a.	Jonelle	n.a.
Egypt		No. 6362	n.a.	FS 2	n.a.	SeedlessTriploid	W	Weiheim	n.a.
Bassateen-	w	No. 6363	W	Gwalior 27	n.a.	Seedless-	W	Taiwan	
Edfina		No.7198	DP	Habshi	W	Triploid Poona		Chung Shangpa	n.a.
Bassateen-	n.a.	No.7199	n.a.	Нарі	R	Sindh	w	Laiapa	n.a.
El-sabahia		India		Harijha	n.a.	Smooth Green	W	Peipa	n.a.
Florida		ABD 3	n.a.	Hasijaka	R	Super Acid	R	Trinidad	
Blitch	LP	AC 10	n.a.	HybridRed-	R	Tathen White	n.a.	Cayenne	n.a.
Patillo	Р	Allahabad	w	Supreme		Verdie	n.a.		

Table 1. Local and introduced cultivars, selections, breeding lines and hybrids of guava grown in different agro climatic regions of the world

Source: Mortan (1987) W – White, CW – Creamy White, PY – Pale Yellow, L – Light Pink, P – Pink, PO – Pinkish Orange (Salmon fleshed), DP – Deep Pink, R – Red, n.a. – information not available.

to white which are governed monogenically and bold seeds are found to be dominant over soft seeds and are also governed monogenically (Subramanyan and Iyer, 1982). The systematic breeding work done for the crop improvement in guava was reviewed by Subramanyan and Iyer (1993).

In India, guava improvement programme was initiated during 1907 at Ganesh Khind Fruit Experimental Station, Pune (Phadnis, 1970) with objectives to develop dwarf, as well as high yielding, good post harvest characteristics in fruits and to evolve resistant lines to biotic and abiotic stresses. As a result, many varieties and hybrids of agronomic and commercial importance have been evolved through selection and hybridization. Most of the popular varieties existing today are developed from selections from the seedling progenies of open pollinated seeds. Out of 600 seedlings selected from the different regions of the country, Lucknow 49 was identified (Cheema and Deshmukh, 1927) as a promising strain evolved from the open pollinated seedlings of Allahabad Safeda. This strain had distinguishing characters like spreading growth habit, large globose fruits with white flesh, high TSS content, sugar:acid ratio and yield (Cheema et al. 1954). After successful field trials at Saharanpur (Singh, 1953) and Kodur (Rangacharulu, 1954) the variety is now renamed as Sardar and recommended for largescale commercial cultivation. The work initiated by Singh (1953) at Horticultural Research Station, Saharanpur led to the evolution of superior selection known as S-1 which had good fruit shape, less number of seeds, sweet taste and high yield (Singh, 1959).

A large germplasm of guava was established and evaluated for morphological features, fruit quality and yield at Central Institute of Horticulture for Northern Plains, Lucknow where after the evaluation of 20 varieties, Lucknow-49 was found to be the best (Chadha et al. 1981). In Karnataka, 16 high performing seedlings were selected from a variety named after a village viz., Navalur which was hardy, drought tolerant and canker resistant (Hulamani et al. 1981). Similarly, three superior strains viz., ABD 3, BHR 3 and BHR 5 were identified from twelve strains collected from Aurangabad and Bhir Districts of Maharashtra (Thonte and Chakrawar, 1981). At Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Faizabad, three seedlings of Allahabad Safeda (AS<sub>1</sub>, AS<sub>2</sub> and AS<sub>3</sub>) and two seedlings of Faizabad Selection (FS<sub>1</sub> and  $FS_2$ ) were found to be promising out of 23 strains

evaluated with respect to the fruit quality and yield (Pathak and Dwivedi, 1988). Apart from this, surveys conducted in Gujarat and Rajasthan also resulted in the identification of few outstanding trees of desirable attributes (Anonymous, 1988). Similarly from Indian Institute of Horticulture, Bangalore, two varieties *viz.*, Arka Mridula (Selection 8) and Arka Amulya were released (Iyer and Subramanyan, 1988) from open pollinated seedlings of Allahabad Safeda. They are heavy yielders, dwarf plant type, fruits white fleshed with high sugar and TSS content, soft seeded and with good keeping quality. Allahabad Surkha, a red skinned guava variety was obtained by chance selection of Allahabad Safeda.

Crop improvement programme in guava through hybridization was initiated at Indian Agricultural Research Institute(IARI), New Delhi, Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University Station at Sangareddy and at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore. The seeded diploid variety of Allahabad Safeda was crossed with a seedless triploid at IARI, New Delhi and a series of aneuploids were produced (Majumder and Mukherjee, 1972 a, b; Mukherjee, 1977) with dwarf tree growth habit, normal shape and size of fruits with few seeds. Nijar (1977) suggested that aneuploidy breeding may be profitable in fruit trees where high seed content of fruits is a defect. Crosses were made and a tetrasomic species namely, Aneuploid-82 was developed with normal size and shape of fruit, few seeds and with tremendous scope to be utilized as root stock. The hybrids Safed Jam and Kohir Safed were released from A.P. University Station, Sangareddy (Mitra and Bose, 1985) and Hybrid-1 and Hybrid 16-1 were released from IIHR, Bangalore (Subramanyan and Iyer, 1988). At Rajendra Agricultural University, Sabour,  $F_1$  hybrid seedlings were raised from crosses between Chittidar x Apple Colour, Chittidar x Sardar, Chittidar x Redflesh, Chittidar x Allahabad Safeda; Sardar x Allahabad Safeda, Sardar x Chittidar; Apple Colour x Sardar; Allahabad Safeda x Apple Colour, Allahabad Safeda x Sardar (Singh and Hoda, 1988). Ghosh et al. (1998) mentioned the characteristics of a few more promising guava hybrids viz., Hybrid-45, 53, 58, 84, 105 and 161 at IIHR., Bangalore. A brief breeding and selection programme was initiated at Institute for Tropical and Subtropical Crops, South Africa to develop a wilt resistant cultivar along with other desirable characters suitable for processing, canning and fresh market (Preez and Du-Preez, 1996). At Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Kohsinag, Wan-WuChang et al. (1999) have selected the crosses between R4  $\times$ Pepia and R1  $\times$  Pepia resistant against *Myxosporium* wilt in guava.

Attempts were made through grafting in guava with major objective of developing root stocks with resistance to guava wilt. The wild Psidium species can be utilized as root stocks for regulation of vigour, bearing, fruit quality and resistance to pest and diseases (Mitra and Bose, 1990). The Chinese guava (Psidium friedrichsthalianum) is a compatible root stock and has been reported to be resistant to wilt disease. Its dwarfing effect would be used in commercial plantings (Edward and Shankar, 1964). Allahabad Safeda trees grafted on P. pumilum had a dwarfing influence and on P. cujavillis produced the largest trees but non uniform and rough skinned fruits. Fruits from trees of Florida seedling stock had the highest total acidity (Teaotia and Phogat, 1970). Singh et al. (1976) observed that fruits of Allahabad Safeda contained higher sugar content on P. pumilum while higher ascorbic acid content was recorded in those grafted on P. cujavillis. In the attempts made for the interspecific hybridization in guava with a major objective of developing root stocks for wilt resistance, it was observed that P. guajava and P. chinensis are crossable. Similarly P. chinensis when used as female parent with P. molle, the cross was compatible where as the cross between P. guajava as female parent and P. molle was incompatible (Subramanyan and Iyer, 1982). However cross incompatibility exists between several cultivars of superior traits. Seth (1960) reported varietal cross incompatibility since neither fruit nor seed set was obtained when crosses were made between Behat Coconut and Lucknow 49; S-1 and Behat Coconut; Behat Coconut and Apple Colour; and Apple Colour and S-1.

### **Biotechnology Applications in Crop Improvement**

The advent of plant molecular biology techniques related to DNA based markers provide powerful and reliable tools for a variety of purposes including variation within the crop germplasm, tagging of genes for agronomically important traits and genome mapping. A variety of molecular markers have been developed for these purposes. Some of the DNA markers currently in use are RFLPs, RAPDs, SCARs, DAF, Minisatellites, Microsatellites or SSRs, ISSRs, AFLPs, S-SAPs, REMAP and IRAPs. The role of DNA markers in crop improvement has been reviewed by many authors (Joshi *et al.* 1999; Kumar, 1999; Thomas and Raman, 2000). The molecular markers are specially advantageous for improving agronomic traits in perennial fruit crops that are otherwise time consuming and difficult to tag the genes conferring resistance to pathogens, insects, nematodes, tolerance to abiotic stresses, quality parameters and quantitative traits. By using Marker Assisted Selection (MAS), the breeder could select molecular markers that are tightly linked to resistant genes for identifying resistant genotypes. Unfortunately, information on these aspects assisted by molecular marker aided studies is lacking in guava. Hence the task of developing molecular marker based genetic map is challenging and important in the crop improvement of guava.

### References

- Adsule RN and SS Kadam (1995) Handbook of Fruit Science and Technology – Production, Composition, Storage and Processing. DK Salunthe and SS Kadam (eds), Marcel Dekker Inc., USA. 419 p.
- Anonymous (1985) Wealth of India Raw Materials Vol 1A. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi.
- Anonymous (1988) Report, Fruit Research Workshop on Subtropical and Temperate Fruits. Rajendra Agricultural Universiy, Pusa, Bihar. pp 77-78.
- Chadha KL, H Singh and DK Tandon (1981) A varietal trial of guava. *Report, National Symposium on Tropical and Subtropical Fruit Crops*, Bangalore. 17 p.
- Cheema GS, SS Bhat and KC Naik (1954) Commercial fruits of India, MacMillan, Bombay.
- Cheema GS and SB Deshmukh (1927) Culture of guava and its improvement by selection in western India. *Bull. Dept. Agric.*, *Bombay.* 48 p.
- Cobley LS (1976) An Introduction to the Botany of Tropical Crops - 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. (Revised by W.M. Sreele). Longman, New York.
- Edward JC and GS Shankar (1964) Rootstock trial for Guava (Psidium guajava L.) Allahabad Farmer, 38: 23-24.
- Ghosh SP, PS Bhatnagar and NP Sukumaran (eds) (1998) Guava crop improvement. In: 50 years of Horticultural Research, ICAR., New Delhi. 148 p.
- Hayes WB (1953) Fruit Growing in India. 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised edition, Kitabistan, Allahabad.
- Hulamani NC, AN Mokashi, GS Sulikeri and MM Rao, (1981) Report, National Symposium on Tropical and Subtropical Fruit Crops, Bangalore. 18 p.
- Iyer CPA and TR Subramanyan (1987) Genetic resources activities concerning tropical fruit plants. In: *Plant Genetic Resources Indian Perspective*. (RS Paroda, RK Arora and KPS Chandel, Eds). NBPGR, New Delhi. pp 310-319.
- Iyer CPA and TR Subramanyan (1988) IIHR Selection-8, an improved guava cultivar. *South Indian Horticulture*, **36**: 258-259.

- Joshi SP, KR Prabhakar and VS Gupta (1999) Molecular markers in plant genome analysis. *Curr. Sci.* **77**: 230-240.
- Kumar LS (1999) DNA markers in plant improvement An overview. *Biotechnology Advances* 17: 143-182.
- Kumar LSS and SG Ranade (1952) Autotriploidy in guava. *Curr. Sci.* **21**: 75-76.
- Majumder PK and SK Mukherjee (1972 a). Aneuploidy in Guava I. Mechanism of variation in number of Chromosomes. *Cytologia*, **37**: 541-548.
- Majumder PK and SK Mukherjee (1972 b). Aneuploidy in Guava II. The occurrence of trisomics, tetrasomics and higher aneuploids in the progeny of triploid. *Nucleus*, **13**: 42-47.
- Menzel CM (1985) Guava: an exotic fruit with potential in Queensland. *Queensland Agric. J.* 111: 93-98.
- Mitra SK and TK Bose (1985) Guava. In: Fruits of India, Tropical and Subtropical. (TK Bose, ed Naya Prakash, Calcutta. pp 287-303.
- Mitra SK and TK Bose (1990) Guava. In: *Fruits Tropical and Subtropical*. (TK Bose and SK Mitra, eds) Naya Prakash, Calcutta. pp 287-303.
- Mortan J (1987) Guava. In: Fruits of Warm Climates. Miami, Fl. USA. pp 356-363.
- Mukherjee SK (1977) Improvement of mango, grapes and guava. In. *Fruit Breeding in India*. (GS Nijar, ed) Oxford & IBH., New Delhi.
- Nijar GS (ed) (1977) Fruit breeding in India. Oxford and IBH Publishers, New Delhi.
- Naithani SP and HC Srivastava (1966) Autoploidy in *Psidium* guajava L. Naturwissenchaften, 8: 205-206.
- Om Prakash and AK Mishra (1993) Fungal Diseases of Subtropical Fruits. 2:5. Guava In: Advances in Horticulture, Vol. 3. (KL Chadha and OP Pareek eds) Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi, pp 1290-1304.
- Pandey SD (1968) The Guava of Uttar Pradesh: A Classification. Hort. Advance 7: 72-79.
- Pathak RA and R Dwivedi (1988) Report of Fruit Research Workshop – Subtropical and Temperate Fruits. Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa. Pp 76-77.
- Pathak RK and CM Ojha (1993) Genetic Resources of Guava. In: Advances in Horticulture, Vol. 3. (KL Chadha and OP Pareek eds) Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. pp 143-147.
- Phadnis NA (1970) Improvement of Guava (*P. guajava* L) by selection in Maharashtra. *Indian J. Hort.* 27: 99-105.
- Precz RD and R Du-Precz (1996) Breeding and Selection Programme at ITSC. Inligtingsbulletin Instituut vir Tropiese on Subtropiese Gewasse, No. 292: 1-4.
- Purseglove JW (1968) *Tropical Crops: Dicotyledons*. English Language Book Society, pp 414-419.
- Raman VS, WM Ali Khan, G Manimekalai and RS Ramalingam, (1969) Observations on seedlessness, fruit development and cytology of varieties of guava. *Madras Agric. J.* 56: 255-261.

- Raman VS, SR Srirangasamy and G Manimekalai (1971) Triploidy and Seedlessness in Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Cytologia 36: 392-399.
- Ramkumar (1973) Inducing polyploidy and cytological studies in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Indian J Hort., **32**: 128-130.
- Rangacharlu US (1954) Guava: The Apple of the Tropics. Andhra Agric. J. 1: 105-109.
- Rawal RD (1993) Guava Wilt. In: Advances in Horticulture, Vol.
  4. (KL Chadha and OP Pareek, eds) Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. pp 2105-2110.
- Seth (1960) Varietal cross incompatability in guava. *Hort. Advance*,4: 161-164.
- Sharma AK and A Majumdar (1957) Structure and behaviour of chromosomes in different varieties of *Psidium guajava* L. Proc. Indian Sci. Congress 256 p.
- Singh LB (1959) S-1, a new promising selection of guava (*Psidium guajava*). Annual Report, Fruit Research Station, Saharanpur. pp 58-60.
- Singh R (1985) Fruits 4th edn. National Book Trust, New Delhi.
- Singh RL (1953) Annual Report, Fruit Research Station, Saharanpur. pp 1953-1960.
- Singh S and MN Hoda (1968) Report of Fruit Research workshop on Tropical and Subtropical Fruits, Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar. 80 p.
- Singh UR, IC Pandey, NP Upadhayaya and BM Tripathi (1976) Effect of different root stocks on the growing, yield and quality in guava. *Prog. Hort.* 2: 37-46.
- Subramanyan MD and CPA Iyer (1982) Report of Fruit Research Workshop, Nagpur. pp 117-118.
- Subramanyan MD and CPA Iyer (1988) Report of Fruit Research Workshop on Tropical and Subtropical Fruits. Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar. pp 81-84.
- Subramanyan MD and CPA Iyer (1993). Improvement of Guava. In: Advances in Horticulture, Vol. 1. (KL Chadha and OP Pareek, eds) Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. pp 143-147.
- Teaotia SS (1967) Guava: Chief varieties in India. Indian Horticulture 12: 15-16.
- Teaotia SS and KPS Phogat (1970) Effect of root stocks on growth, yield and quality in guava. *Prog. Hort.* 2: 37-46.
- Thomas G and R Raman (2000) Sweetpotato a promising crop, its present status and future prospects. Ann. Agric. Res. 21: 392-398.
- Thonte GT and VR Chakrawar (1981) The variability and correlation studies of guava strains. *Report of National Symposium on Tropical and Subtropical Fruit Crops*, Bangalore. 17 p.
- Wan-Wu Chang, Leu-Lii Sin, WC Wan and LS Leu (1999) Breeding guava resistant lines against Myxosporium wilt. Plant Protection Bulletin, Tapei. 41: 149-154.
- Yadav IS (1990) Germplasm collection of mango, grape, guava and litchi in India. *Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on Subtropical Fruits*, CIHNP, Lucknow.